



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/908,941	07/20/2001	Masaki Hirase	010917	1043

23850 7590 11/21/2003

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP
1725 K STREET, NW
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

KENNEDY, JENNIFER M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2812

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/908,941	HIRASE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7/20/2001</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 20, 2003 has been entered.

Currently claims 1-8 are pending in the application. Claims 1-2 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,303,458) in view of Krivokapic et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,087,208).

Zhang et al. discloses the method of making a semiconductor device comprising:

forming an element partitioning trench (42) and a mask aligning trench (40) in a semiconductor substrate (10);

simultaneously depositing an insulation (referred to as both 40 and 50) in the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench, wherein no other insulation layer has been deposited by a plasma process in the trenches prior to the insulation being deposited.

applying a protective mask (60) on the insulation deposited in the element partitioning trench

etching the insulation deposited in the mask aligning trench to remove some of the insulation (see Figure 3B and column 4, lines 35-45); and

flattening an upper surface of the semiconductor substrate (see column 4, lines 55-60).

Zhang et al. does not disclose the method of depositing the insulation by performing a chemical vapor deposition process consisting of high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDPCVD). Krivokapic et al. discloses the method of forming an insulation layer (34) by chemical vapor deposition process consisting HDPCVD (see column 5, lines 49-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the insulation of Zhang et al. layer by the HDPCVD process of Krivokapic et al., since as Krivokapic et al. disclose, HPCVD is a self-planarizing process which allows for a reduction of CMP times required in the subsequent steps.

In re claim 4, Zhang et al. also discloses the method of forming a coating (30) on the semiconductor substrate, wherein the coating has a pattern of openings

corresponding to the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench and etching the semiconductor substrate using the coating as a mask to form the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench, wherein the insulation depositing step includes depositing the insulation without removing the coating (see column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 20).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,303,458) and Krivokapic et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,087,208) in view of Schoenfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,127,245).

Zhang et al. and Krivokapic et al. disclose the method as claimed and rejected above including the steps of flattening by a chemical mechanical process, but do not disclose the method of flattening is performed rotary grinding. Schoenfeld discloses the method of utilizing a rotary grinder in CMP process (see column 5, lines 30-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rotary grinding disc in the CMP process of the combined Zhang et al. and Krivokapic et al. in order to create a uniform flat surface that allows for ease of formation of subsequently formed devices.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,303,458), Krivokapic et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,087,208), and Schoenfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,127,245), in further view of Kuroi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,889,335).

The combined Zhang et al., Krivokapic et al., and Schoenfeld et al. disclose the method as claimed and rejected above including the method wherein the insulation is formed from oxide (40, 50), the coating is formed from silicon nitride (top portion of 30) and acts as an etching stopper (i.e. prevents etching of the underlying layer, see column 4, lines 10-15), the method further comprising the step of forming an oxide film (30) on the semiconductor substrate prior to the formation of the element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench, wherein the coating is formed on the oxide film (see column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 4).

The combined Zhang et al., Krivokapic et al., and Schoenfeld et al., do not expressly disclose the method of forming the substrate of silicon, or the method of forming the insulation of silicon oxide, or wherein the pad oxide layer that is formed prior to the forming of the silicon nitride layer coating is a silicon oxide.

Kuroi et al. discloses the method of utilizing silicon (1) as the substrate material, silicon oxide as the insulation material (2), and silicon oxide (3) as the pad oxide layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form these layers of these materials because they are preferred materials for their respective intended purposes. Silicon would be obvious to use as a substrate material because of the larger bandgap of the material which results in smaller leakage currents. Silicon oxide would be obvious to use as insulation material in isolation trenches because it is easy to form and chemically stable and has the expectation to insulate. Silicon oxide would be obvious to use as a pad oxide layer because it is easy

to form and chemically stable and protects the underlying substrate during photolithographic processing.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. and Krivokapic et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,087,208) in view of Kuroi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,889,335).

Zhang et al. discloses the method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, comprising;

forming an oxide film (30) on an upper surface of a semiconductor substrate;

forming a silicon nitride film (30) on the oxide film (see column 3, line 65 through column 4, line 4);

partially removing the silicon nitride film and the oxide film (see column 4, lines 10-19);

forming an element partitioning trench and a mask aligning trench by etching the semiconductor substrate using a residue of the silicon nitride and silicon oxide films as a mask, wherein element partitioning trench and the mask aligning trench have substantially the same depths (see column 4, lines 4-35 and Figures 1A, 1B);

simultaneously depositing a first layer of insulation and a second layer of insulation in the element partitioning trench and in the mask aligning trench, respectively (referred to as both 40 and 50);

coating the first insulation with a protective mask (60);

etching the second insulation so that a step is formed between an upper surface of the semiconductor substrate and an upper surface of the second insulation (see column 4, lines 45-55); and

removing the protective mask (see column 4, lines 55-60, and Figures 4A, 4B)

Zhang et al. does not disclose the method of depositing the insulation by performing a chemical vapor deposition process consisting of high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDPCVD). Krivokapic et al. discloses the method of forming an insulation layer (34) by chemical vapor deposition process consisting HDPCVD (see column 5, lines 49-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the insulation of Zhang et al. layer by the HDPCVD process of Krivokapic et al., since as Krivokapic et al. disclose, HPCVD is a self-planarizing process which allows for a reduction of CMP times required in the subsequent steps.

The combined Zhang et al. and Krivokapic et al. do not expressly disclose the method of forming the insulation of silicon oxide, or wherein the pad oxide layer that is formed prior to the forming of the silicon nitride layer coating is a silicon oxide.

Kuroi et al. discloses the method of utilizing silicon oxide as the insulation material (2), and silicon oxide (3) as the pad oxide layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form these layers of these materials because they are preferred materials for their respective intended purposes. Silicon oxide would be obvious to use as insulation material in isolation

trenches because it is easy to form and chemically stable and has the expectation to insulate. Silicon oxide would be obvious to use as a pad oxide layer because it is easy to form and chemically stable and protects the underlying substrate during photolithographic processing.

In re claim 8, Zhang et al. further discloses the method wherein the first insulating and the second insulation are made of the same material (40, 50, see column 4, lines 30-35).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wolf et al. (Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era, Volume 1-Process Technology, 1986, Lattice Press, page 1) discloses the advantages to silicon substrates and silicon oxide.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 308-6171. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/908,941
Art Unit: 2812

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.


Jennifer M. Kennedy
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2812